## **GLOSSARY**

To aid your understanding of this report, a few general terms are explained.

<u>Total LCP</u>: This term refers to a Local Coastal Program (LCP) or an LCP segment that includes <u>both</u> the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Implementation Plan (IP).

**LCP and LUP counts.**: There are currently 73 cities and counties either totally or partially within the California coastal zone and therefore subject to Coastal Act requirements. The Coastal Act, however, allows local governments, under certain subject to conditions and subject to Commission approval to divide their jurisdictions into geographic "segments" for purposes of preparing the LUP and IP components of LCPs. This is why the report refers to 125 LUP segments.

In addition, each LCP contains two components: The Land Use Plan (LUP) and the Implementation Plan (IP) to carry out the LUP. Most local governments have elected to prepare their LUPs first, and once certified by the Commission, begin work on the IP portion. Some have prepared both components simultaneously as a "total LCP".

**LUP**: Refers to the Land Use Plan component of an LCP and includes land use designations and policies in sufficient detail to indicate the kinds, location, and intensity of land uses. It also includes resource protection policies. Preparation of the Land Use Plan is occasionally referred to as Phase II for grant purposes.

**<u>IP</u>**: The Implementation Plan component is referred to as Phase III for grant purposes, and is the component of an LCP which contains the ordinances, regulations or other enforcement mechanisms used to implement the LUP. This component is also commonly referred to in LCPs as "zoning", "implementing ordinances", "implementing action phase", or "implementation program".

<u>LCP Segment</u>: The Coastal Act allows local governments to prepare their LCPs in geographic segments when the Commission finds that all applicable Coastal Act policies can adequately be addressed both within the geographic segment and in the other areas within the local government's jurisdiction for which an LCP must also be prepared. The Commission may then review and approve such geographic segments separately when they are submitted. (Note: For purposes of record-keeping, non-segmented LCPs are counted as one segment in compiling the overall segment total.)

<u>Suggested Modifications (or mods</u>): Under the Coastal Act, the Commission can only approve or deny an LCP (LUP or IP). The Commission may, however, deny and then approve an LCP together with suggested modifications, which, if accepted by the local government, will result in the LCP's certification without the necessity of the LCP having to come back before the Commission. If not accepted, the Commission's approval lapses after 6 months and any subsequent LCP is considered a resubmittal.

**Effective Certification**: This term means that the last formal step in the LCP process has been completed and that the local government can assume coastal permit-issuing authority. "Effective certification" occurs when the Commission concurs with the Executive Director's determination that the local government has formally adopted both components of the LCP, including any suggested modifications,

and that they are adequate to carry out Coastal Act policies. In some instances there is a delay in the local government's actual assumption of permit-issuing authority due to the need to train local staff and other preparatory steps. "Effective certification" also occurs for an LUP component after either a city or county has accepted any suggested modifications, or the Commission certifies the LUP without suggested modifications.

<u>Area of Deferred Certification (ADC)</u>: This term refers to an area which has not been officially segmented for purposes of LCP preparation and where both the LUP and IP portions have been deferred to some future date, or in some cases denied in geographic part. The concept arose in response to a situation where all LCP issues had been resolved except for an unresolved geographic area. To avoid delay in certifying the balance of the LCP, the geographic area of controversy was removed for later action or denied, and thus became a deferred area. Where an ADC has been subsequently resolved it is so noted.

There are a number of areas within the Coastal Zone which for one reason or another have not been included in an LCP (or any segment thereof) and will need to be addressed at some time in the future. These are named and included in the text of this report.

Amendment: All amendments to certified LUP portions and total LCPs have been listed chronologically. Please note that where the wording "Approved (w/ suggested mods)" follows an amendment listing, this amendment is <u>not</u> effective and has not been incorporated as a part of the LCP <u>unless</u> followed by the additional wording "ED Checkoff", meaning that the local government has accepted the suggested modifications as adopted by the Commission, and the Executive Director (ED) has determined that this local government action is legally adequate. Records on the number of amendments are based on each individual Commission action on an amendment, be it major, minor or de minimis. Section 30514(b) of the Coastal Act limits the number of major amendment requests to three submittals per calendar year, but allows an unlimited number of minor submittals. There are, however, no limitations on the number of individual items included in each amendment submittal, be it major or minor.

<u>Hannigan Permit Authority</u>: Refers to a 1982 amendment to PRC 30600.5 authored by Assemblyman Hannigan which enables a local government to assume coastal permit-issuing authority after only its LUP has been certified but <u>before</u> its total LCP has been effectively certified. Coastal permit and appeals procedures differ somewhat from those after completion of a total LCP. No local government currently uses this legislation, although a number have done so in the past.

<u>Local Government Post-Certification Permit Activity</u>: The Commission, under both the Coastal Act and its federally approved program, has the responsibility to monitor local coastal permit activity. Local decisions made pursuant to an effectively certified LCP as well as decisions made by any jurisdiction having interim permit authority are monitored in the Commission's <u>Post-Certification Program</u>. Maintaining information on all coastal permit applications processed by local governments aids in evaluating the effectiveness of the regulatory process in meeting the objectives and goals of the certified programs.

Statistics on local decisions have been reported to the federal Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management beginning with the second quarter of 1982. The tables show the cumulative total of local coastal permits and appeals reported to the Commission from the date of effective certification through the current fiscal year. Where categorical exclusions are in effect, certain categories of development are

not subject to coastal permits. Thus, the number of local permits reported by any given jurisdiction may not reflect all development activity in that jurisdiction

If you have any questions about this report or require further information, please contact Liz Fuchs in the San Francisco office at (415) 904-5287, or staff in any of the other offices listed below:

North Coast District (Eureka)	(707) 445-7833
North Central Coast District (San Francisco)	(415) 904-5260
Central Coast District (Santa Cruz)	(831) 427-4863
South Central Coast District (Ventura)	(805) 585-1800
South Coast District (Long Beach)	(562) 590-5071
San Diego Coast District (San Diego)	(619) 767-2370
Headquarters Office – (San Francisco)	(415) 904-5200